Date: Mon, 21 Mar 94 22:09:15 PST
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #316
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Mon, 21 Mar 94 Volume 94 : Issue 316

Today's Topics:

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Mon, 21 Mar 1994 22:06:55 +0000
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!nic-nac.CSU.net!usc!
howland.reston.ans.net!pipex!demon!g8sjp.demon.co.uk!ip@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: 1994 Contest calendar enclosed
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2mkona$asn@xap.xyplex.com>
           sas@eng.xyplex.com "Scott Sminkey - Sustaining Eng Group" writes:

> dbushong@wang.com (Dave Bushong)

> >
> >Here is the 1994 contest calendar from CQ.
> [lots deleted]
> >ARRL June VHF Contest 2/Jun   33
>
> Looks like either CQ misprinted this or someone somewhere made an
> assumption that the ARRL June VHF QSO Party is on its traditional
> second weekend of June date. As it stands now, the ARRL June VHF
> QSO Party is on the FIRST weekend of June in 1994.

While you may think this is a bit of a bummer, it *does* coincide with the IARU Region 1 50MHz event (run for the first time in 1994).

Last year, the RSGB 50MHz Trophy contest was run on the same weekend as the ARRL June VHF QSO Party. Although our contest was 8 hours only on the Sunday, I was set up and ready by 1600z on the Saturday. With nothing better to do during the evening, I sat back from my (relatively elevated) position in grid IO82jj listening to the Es QSOs from central / southern Europe rolling past. For a few minutes, the band opened to W4-land, and there were a few surprised ops saying things like 'GW0RDI/P what was that grid again???'

This year, the contest will be 24 hours (1400z-1400z) and you can expect all of the European big guns (this is a relative term - current UK licence restricts *ERP* on 50MHz to 100W) to be active. You may just pick up a few extra grids on 6M this way. It's worth looking ...

I have what most Europeans would describe as a 'good' station on 50MHz - 2 x 7el Create long Yagis plus 1 x 8el Create long Yagi, both fed from a single TX, and feeding separate ICOM 726 xcvrs for receive. If / when the UK authorities see sense, I have a Henry 6N2 .....

What hours (GMT) does your contest run?

--
Iain Philipps

Date: Mon, 21 Mar 1994 21:55:03 +0000
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
howland.reston.ans.net!pipex!demon!g8sjp.demon.co.uk!ip@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: 2 meter use in London, England?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <Cn0zAH.5tu@brunel.ac.uk> cs90nrs@brunel.ac.uk writes:

>   London is allocated D but there aren't any repeaters in the London
>   area that have CTCSS yet (I'm sure someone will correct me if I'm wrong)

S'right. The first is likely to be the replacement West London machine, which is likely to be installed about an hour after I remove it from the bench where it's being soak-tested (since October ...)

I am hard put to recall more than two 2M repeaters ('PI and 'KN) in the Home Counties area which currently use CTCSS. 70CMs is a different story ...

--
Iain Philipps

Date: 21 Mar 1994 20:39:33 GMT
From: news.cerf.net!pravda.sdsc.edu!nic-nac.CSU.net!charnel.net.csuchico.edu!charnel!olivea!sgigate.sgi.com!sgiblab!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.@ihnp4.ucsd.edu
Subject: 2m HT recomendations ?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I am thinking about buying a 2m HT. I have read the glossy ads, in CQ and 73 but I can not come to any conclusions.

Which do you use ? What are its good / bad points ?

Is power important or is battery life more critical ?

What features are important DTMF, CTCSS, pageing, ...

I am looking at models from Kenwood, Yeasu, and I-COM. I am leaning toward the Kenwood TH-28A. I am also looking at the Yeasu F-11R and 411 as well as a few models from I-COM.

I would appreciated any recomendations or info on these or other 2m HTs before I take the plunge and buy one.

I do plan on carring it with me once and a while but moslty I will use it in my car or at home.

Thanks,
     Harland

--

Harland MacKenzie
Department of Mechanical Engineering
University of Brithish Columbia
Vancouver, B.C. Canada

"Once you have eliminated the impossible, what ever remains, no matter how improbable, must be the

truth",
Internet: harland@mech.ubc.ca Sir Arthur Conan Doyle
CompuServe: 72162,2245

Date: 22 Mar 1994 00:31:15 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!gatech!swrinde!
sgiblab!sgigate.sgi.com!olivea!news.bu.edu!dartvax.dartmouth.edu!
usenet@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Canadian Reciprocal Info Please
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <9403211900591.gilbaronw0mn.DLITE@delphi.com>
gilbaronw0mn@delphi.com (Gilbert Baron) writes:

> >I will be in Edmonton in late June/early July and want to be able to use a
>
> In Canada the reciprocity is automatic. Just bring your radio, eveidence of
> its US origin, US Citizenship, and enjoy operating.

The inquiring party is British, not U.S.

Kenneth E. Harker N1PVB Dartmouth College Amateur Packet Radio
kenneth.e.harker@dartmouth.edu Hinman Box 1262 n1pvb@w1et.nh.usa.na
(603) 643-6549 Hanover, NH 03755 or n1pvb-5 on 144.99

(PGP Public Key now available on request)

Date: 21 Mar 1994 21:55:07 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!
news.intercon.com!psinntp!psinntp!psinntp!newsserver.pixel.kodak.com!rpi!
rembrandt.its.rpi.edu!augusj@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ICOM 24AT Information wanted
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hi, I have an ICOM 24AT that I want to modify to transmit
out of band. And I have a text file I ftped off of
I think oakland.oak.edu which says how to do it, but it
mentions diodes by number and not physical location.
If anyone has the ICOM 24AT service manual I would appreciate it
if you would email me. . .
I need to know the physical location of:
D13

D7
D8
D14
If you could scan the page and uuencode it and email it I would
even more appreciative. . .

In any case any info or help is greatly appreciated. . .

    -Jon
     augusj@rpi.edu

Date: Mon, 21 Mar 1994 01:35:37 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!pacbell.com!sgiblab!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!
howland.reston.ans.net!torn!newshub.ccs.yorku.ca!apogee.ccs.yorku.ca!
edleslie@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Internet<->Packet and Part 97
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Jason Rimmer (jrimmer@netcom.com) wrote:
: My intention here is to set up a internet<->packet gateway. The catch is I
: want it to be automatic. Once I made that decision I have to deal with
: Part 97's rules about third-party traffic. That's where the issue greys...
: What are my troubles? I know vulgarities are a problem, but anything else?
: If it's just vulgarities, I can just write something to screen those out.
: What other issues need to be dealt with. Or has that already been done?

I think (and I may be speaking way out of turn here) that the general way
people around here have approached this issue is to ensure that email text
hits the air (i.e. over packet) *ONLY* at the behest of an amateur, so that
then the amateur is the one controlling the transmission (and I guess that
means the one liable for any infraction, whether they could know that it
would happen or not in advance). So, any email transversing the gateway can
only be delivered to a land-based bbs, and must be read from there at the
request of an amateur operator. With fine tuning, I believe they can tell
that if I originated the message over the airwaves, then it can be delivered
over the airwaves to it's destination.

73 de Ed / VE3ZVZ

: ---
: Jason Rimmer
: Eclectic Technologies
: jrimmer@netcom.com

: Object technology for the masses...

Date: Mon, 21 Mar 1994 02:15:39 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!mvb.saic.com!news.cerf.net!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!csus.edu!netcom.com!jrimmer@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Internet<->Packet and Part 97
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <CMzr3E.Go4@newshub.ccs.yorku.ca> edleslie@apogee.ccs.yorku.ca (Ed Leslie) writes:
>From: edleslie@apogee.ccs.yorku.ca (Ed Leslie)
>Subject: Re: Internet<->Packet and Part 97
>Date: Mon, 21 Mar 1994 01:35:37 GMT

>Jason Rimmer (jrimmer@netcom.com) wrote:
>: My intention here is to set up a internet<->packet gateway. The catch is I
>: want it to be automatic. Once I made that decision I have to deal with
>: Part 97's rules about third-party traffic. That's where the issue greys...
>: What are my troubles? I know vulgarities are a problem, but anything else?
>: If it's just vulgarities, I can just write something to screen those out.
>: What other issues need to be dealt with. Or has that already been done?

>I think (and I may be speaking way out of turn here) that the general way
>people around here have approached this issue is to ensure that email text
>hits the air (i.e. over packet) *ONLY* at the behest of an amateur, so that
>then the amateur is the one controlling the transmission (and I guess that
>means the one liable for any infraction, whether they could know that it
>would happen or not in advance). So, any email transversing the gateway can
>only be delivered to a land-based bbs, and must be read from there at the
>request of an amateur operator. With fine tuning, I believe they can tell
>that if I originated the message over the airwaves, then it can be delivered
>over the airwaves to it's destination.

>73 de Ed / VE3ZVZ

>: ---
>: Jason Rimmer
>: Eclectic Technologies
>: jrimmer@netcom.com

>: Object technology for the masses...

 That's true, but if I "know" what's not allowed to be transmitted over the air, then I can figure out a way to filter that out. i.e. A message comes from Internet and the destination is over packet. Once the message is received, a program jumps in, scans the message for obscenities (and whatever

else needs to be caught), and either replaces the offending text, or deletes
the message entirely. If the message is not deleted, then it forwards it
over packet, otherwise it sends the message over packet to the addressee of
the message stating that it was not able to be sent, due to obscenities, etc.
 Does that sound plausible?

Jason Rimmer
Eclectic Technologies
jrimmer@netcom.com

Object technology for the masses...

Date: Sun, 20 Mar 1994 23:19:50 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!pacbell.com!sgiblab!munnari.oz.au!newshost.anu.edu.au!sserve!
usage!metro!ipso!rwc@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: IPS Daily Report - 20 March 94
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SUBJ: IPS DAILY SOLAR AND GEOPHYSICAL REPORT
ISSUED AT 20/2330Z MARCH 1994 BY IPS RADIO AND SPACE SERVICES
FROM THE REGIONAL WARNING CENTRE (RWC), SYDNEY.
SUMMARY FOR 20 MARCH AND FORECAST UP TO 23 MARCH

IPS Warning 09 was issued on 18 MAR and is still current.

1A. SOLAR SUMMARY
Activity: very low

Flares: none.

Observed 10.7 cm flux/Equivalent Sunspot Number : 089/033

1B. SOLAR FORECAST

	21 March	22 March	23 March
Activity	Very low	Very low	Very low
Fadeouts	None expected	None expected	None expected

Forecast 10.7 cm flux/Equivalent Sunspot Number : 090/034

1C. SOLAR COMMENT
None.

2A. MAGNETIC SUMMARY
Geomagnetic field at Learmonth : unsettled to active

Estimated Indices : A   K   Observed A Index 19 March
    Learmonth   17 3234 3334
    Fredericksburg 08   14
    Planetary   10   15

2B. MAGNETIC FORECAST
DATE   Ap   CONDITIONS
21 Mar   25   Unsettled to active.
22 Mar   30   Active.
23 Mar   25   Mostly unsettled.

2C. MAGNETIC COMMENT
None.

3A. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION SUMMARY
             LATITUDE BAND
DATE   LOW   MIDDLE   HIGH
20 Mar   normal   normal   normal
PCA Event : None.
3B. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION FORECAST
             LATITUDE BAND
DATE   LOW   MIDDLE   HIGH
21 Mar   normal   normal   normal
22 Mar   normal   normal-fair   normal-fair
23 Mar   normal   normal-fair   normal-fair
3C. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION COMMENT
NONE.

4A. AUSTRALIAN REGION IONOSPHERIC SUMMARY
MUFs at Sydney were near predicted monthly values.

Observed T index for 20 March: 47

Predicted Monthly T Index for March is 40.

4B. AUSTRALIAN REGION IONOSPHERIC FORECAST
DATE   T-index MUFs
21 Mar   45   Near predicted monthly values.
22 Mar   10   15 to 20% below predicted monthly values.
23 Mar   20   About 15% below predicted monthly values.

4C. AUSTRALIAN REGION COMMENT
None.
--
IPS Regional Warning Centre, Sydney |IPS Radio and Space Services
email: rwc@ips.oz.au fax: +61 2 4148331 |PO Box 5606
RWC Duty Forecaster tel: +61 2 4148329 |West Chatswood NSW 2057
Recorded Message tel: +61 2 4148330 |AUSTRALIA

Date: Mon, 21 Mar 1994 19:47:04 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
howland.reston.ans.net!usc!crash!telesoft!garym@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Looking for Long. and Lat. information
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In <1994Mar20.221747.6622@altair.selu.edu> fcs$1224@altair.selu.edu writes:
>I am looking for an anonymous ftp site from which I can get the
>longitude and latitude of a fair number of places. It doesn't have
>to be a complete listing. I am mostly interested in places outside
>of the United States, especially Australia.

Try the geographic name server by using "telnet 141.212.99.9 3000". Use "?"
to get info. You can enter a zip code or city name and get something like
this:

Melbourne, Australia
0 Melbourne
3 AS Australia
R Victoria
F 45 Populated place
L 37 50 00 S 145 00 00 E

--GaryM

Date: 21 Mar 1994 02:18:40 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!pacbell.com!sgiblab!sdd.hp.com!hpscit.sc.hp.com!icon!
hpchase.rose.hp.com!cmoore@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: QSLing packet messages?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hi,

I've recently received several messages via packet asking me to exchange
QSL cards. How does one respond to these? Since there was no actual

QSO, I can't see filling out QSO information. So what (if anything) does one put on a QSL card in response to a packet message? I'm also a little curios why someone would want a card from a station they didn't actually work.

73,
Chris Moore
N6IYS
cmoore@mothra.rose.hp.com

Date: 22 Mar 94 05:38:03 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: subscribe info-hams
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

subscribe info-hams JPdunn

Date: 21 Mar 1994 14:05:50 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.acns.nwu.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!sgiblab!munnari.oz.au!comp.vuw.ac.nz!newshost.wcc.govt.nz!MILLER_P%ix.wcc.govt.nz@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: test
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

NZ HF

 2012 USB, ----, Harbour authority working
 2018 USB, ZKCT, Civil Defence, Central zone
 2021 USB, ZKHQ, Civil Defence, HQ
 2024 USB, ----, Civil Defence, Regional Councils
 2027 USB, ZKNT, Civil Defence, Northern zone
 2030 USB, ZKST, Civil Defence, Southern zone
 2045 USB, ----, Private Coast stations
 2068 USB, ----, Ship-Ship working
 2089 USB, ----, Aquatic sporting events
 2129 USB, ----, Aquatic sporting events
 2162 USB, ---- Private Coast stations, Harbour authorities
 2182 USB, ZLM, Taupo Maritime Radio, Distress/Calling
 2207 USB, ZLM, Taupo Maritime Radio, Working, WX broadcasts
 2444 USB, ----, Private Coast stations
 2456 USB, ----, Ship-Ship working
 2480 USB, ----, Private Coast stations

```
 2493.0 CW, ZLO,  NAVCOMSTA Waiouru
 2638  USB, ----, Ship-Ship working
 2863  USB, ----, Auckland Volmet, H+20 and H+50
 3032  USB, ZKX,  Airforce Auckland, 0900-2100 UTC
 3261  USB, ZKIB, South Island mountain radio service, Skeds 0600-0800 UTC
 3312  USB, ----, Land based sporting events
 3345  USB, ZLJG, North Island Mountain Radio, Skeds 0600-0800 UTC
 3354  USB, ZKCT, Civil Defence, Central zone
 3357  USB, ZKNT, Civil Defence, Northern zone
 3360  USB, ZKHQ, Civil Defence, Wellington HQ and Southern zone
 3467  USB, ZKAK, Auckland Radio, SP6
 3935   AM, ZLXA, Print Disabled Radio, Levin, 2030-1000 UTC daily
 4125  USB, ZLM,  Taupo Maritime Radio, Distress and Calling
 4146  USB, ZLM,  Taupo Maritime Radio, Working, Wx broadcasts
 4263.0 CW, ZLO,  Irirangi, RNZN
 4417  USB, ----, Ship-Ship working
 4445  USB, ----, Kerikeri marine radio, Skeds 0500-0900 UTC
 4853  USB, ----, Land based sporting events
 5380  USB, ZKST, Civil Defence, Southern zone
 5383  USB, ZKCT, Civil Defence, Central zone
 5386  USB, ZKHQ, Civil Defence, Wellington HQ
 5389  USB, ZKNT, Civil Defence, Northern zone
 5592  USB, ----, Queenstown flight service
 5643  USB, ZKAK, Auckland Radio, SP6 freq family
 5688  USB, ZKX,  Airforce Auckland,
 5726  USB, ZKAK, Auckland Radio, Antarctic summer season
 5807  FAX, ZKLF, Auckland FAX, 24 hrs
 5960   AM, ZLXA, Print Disabled Radio, Levin, QRV late 1994
 6215  USB, ZLM,  Taupo Maritime Radio, Distress and Calling
 6224  USB, ZLM,  Taupo Maritime Radio, Working, Wx broadcasts
 6224  USB, ----, Ship-Ship working
 6339.5 CW, ZLO,  NAVCOMSTA Waiouru
 6679  USB, ZKAK, Auckland Volmet, H+20 and H+50
 7290   AM, ZLXA, Print Disabled Radio, Levin, 2030-1800 daily
 8291  USB, ZLM,  Taupo Maritime Radio, Distress and Calling
 8297  USB, ZLM,  Taupo Maritime Radio, Working, Wx Broadcasts
 8297  USB, ----, Kerikeri marine radio, Skeds 0400-0600 UTC
 8297  USB, ----, Ship-Ship working
 8601.0 CW, ZLO,  NAVCOMSTA Waiouru
 8828  USB, ZKAK, Auckland Volmet, H+20 and H+50
 8867  USB, ZKAK, Auckland Radio, SP6 freq family
 8976  USB, ZKX,  Airforce Auckland, 2100-0900 UTC
 8997  USB, ZKAK, Auckland Radio, Antarctic summer season
 9459  FAX, ZKLF, Auckland FAX, 24 hrs
11236  USB, ZKX,  Airforce Auckland, 2100-0900 UTC
12290  USB, ZLM,  Taupo Maritime Radio, Distress/Calling
12356  USB  ZLM,  Taupo Maritime Radio, Working, Wx broadcasts
12719.5 CW  ZLO,  NAVCOMSTA Waiouru
```

13207 USB ZKX, Airforce Auckland, 2100-0900 UTC
13251 USB ZKAK, Auckland Radio, Antarctic summer season
13261 USB ZKAK, Auckland Radio, SP6 freq family
13282 USB ZKAK, Auckland Volmet, H+20 and H+50
13550 FAX ZKLF, Auckland FAX, 24 hrs
16340 FAX ZKLF, Auckland FAX, 24 hrs
16420 USB ZLM, Taupo Maritime Radio, Distress/Calling
16531 USB ZLM, Taupo Maritime Radio, Working, Wx broadcasts
17227.5 CW ZLO, NAVCOMSTA Waiouru
17904 USB ZKAK, Auckland Radio, SP6 freq family

Date: 21 Mar 1994 22:48:32 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!s1.gov!fastrac.llnl.gov!usenet.ee.pdx.edu!
news.reed.edu!gaia.ucs.orst.edu!news.cs.indiana.edu!sgiblab!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!
howland.@@ihnp4.ucsd.edu
Subject: What is (and how do I make) a diplexer?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I looked in to this about a year ago. There is an excellent
article in the October 1991 issue of QST. The sticking point for
me was finding the three ait dielectric trim caps. By the time I
have paid for those at about US$8.00 each (small lot cost) I have
paid for half of one already built and tested. I bought one for
my car and have been happy with it. If you are still interested
in building your own, send me your snail-mail address and I'll
send you a copy of the article.

73

de Bill, KD6MCI
E-Mail WAKIRSAN@ANANOV.REMNET.AB.COM

Date: 22 Mar 94 02:52:55 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!news.ysu.edu!yfn.ysu.edu!
as779@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
Subject: Why no 10 meter activity??
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Regarding Solar Activity information, don't forget to check out
the daily reports posted in rec.radio.info. Also notices of solar
flare and geomagnetic storm and auroral activity.

An elmer of mine in the early '60's said to me: "ALWAYS check
ten meters first, no matter how dead the bands seem. You'll be

surprised more often than you'd expect." He was right.

Chuck Reti Detroit ,MI   WV8A
as779@yfn.ysu.edu
aa010@detroit.freenet.org
wv8a@wb8zpn.#semi.mi.usa.na

Date: 21 Mar 1994 23:15:13 GMT
From: news.cerf.net!pravda.sdsc.edu!nic-nac.CSU.net!charnel.net.csuchico.edu!
charnel!yeshua.marcam.com!news.kei.com!eff!news.umbc.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
howland.reston.ans.@@ihnp4.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <2m58sq$12hg@watnews1.watson.ibm.com>, <2mfkd6$8p4@apple.com>,
<19MAR94.18754189.0121@UNBVM1.CSD.UNB.CA>on.a
Subject : Re: FT-990 vs TS-850

NADO000 (NADO@UNB.CA) wrote:

Referring to the choice between a Yaesu FT990 and a Kenwood TS850:

: A friend has both the Yeasu 757 and a Kenwood 440AT and he confirms
: the same findings. The Yeasu is more pleasant to listen to and most
: of us do a lot more listening than talking. My next rig is likely to
: be a Yeasu.

This seems to be a popular pair of radios. There've been several
postings over the last months asking for comparisons between these rigs.
I've also narrowed my choices down to these two if (when?) I ever
replace my Drake rigs. One thing that almost never seems to get
mentioned however...

How do these rigs sound on the air! At the risk of starting a flame
war, I'll venture the opinion that, in general, I find Kenwoods putting
out among the best sounding signals on the air. I've got to admit that
I enjoy hearing the reports of superior audio quality that my Drakes
seem to get on sideband, and I'd hate to give that up. I like putting
out a good pleasing signal! If it weren't for that factor, I'd probably
lean towards the Yaesu. As it is, I'm not sure.

Any thoughts or comments on the transmitting audio qualities of the
major brands on sideband, particularly these two radios?

Scott Turner N0VRF scott@hpisla.LVLD.HP.COM

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #316
****
****